

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.9663 OF 2023
(Kiran Dnyaneshwar More Vs. The State of Maharashtra, Through its
Secretary and Others)

Mr.N.N.Bhagwat, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr.P.K.Lakhotiya, AGP for the Respondent/State.
Mr.A.D.Aghav, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

(CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.)

DATE : AUGUST 4, 2023

PER COURT :

1. The Petitioner is a practicing Advocate. We have considered the submissions of the learned Advocate for the Petitioner after granting him sufficient time, we expressed our disinclination, moreso in view of the fact that the B.D.O. has already taken cognizance of the complaint of the Petitioner and has appointed an Enquiry Officer. The report of the Enquiry Officer is awaited. The Petitioner has also filed certain applications under the Right to Information Act.

2. The learned Advocate for the Petitioner sought a pass over to take instructions.

3. After the matter was called out, he submits that the Petitioner desires to withdraw this petition.

4. In view of the said statement, **this petition is disposed off**, as withdrawn on instructions.

(Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)