

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

926 WRIT PETITION NO.6295 OF 2023

NILESH SURESH NEHTE
VERSUS
THE UNION OF INDIA THROUGH SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Ms. Kirti Deshpande h/f. Mr. M.M. Bhokarikar
Standing Counsel for the respondents no. 1 to 4 : Mr. Ajay G. Talhar
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 15 JUNE 2023

PC :

We have heard learned advocate for the petitioner.

2. We are surprised that a litigant can be so bold and the matrimonial dispute can reach to a stage where the petitioner - husband is now questioning the transfer order of his wife – respondent no. 5 on the ground that the transfer has not been effected by the employer in accordance with its policy. It is a startling example of the vindictive nature of the litigation.

3. We dismiss the petition by imposing costs of Rs.50,000/- (Rs. Fifty Thousand) to be recovered from the petitioner as arrears of land revenue.

**[S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR]
JUDGE**

**[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE**

arp/