

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

902 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5788 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 26896 OF 2021

RAMNATH DEORAO SAGDE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR, JALNA
AND OTHERS

AND
903 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6068 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 25311 OF 2021

DATTU BAPURAO GAYKE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR, JALNA
AND OTHERS

...

Mr. D.M. Pingale – Advocate for Applicants
Mr. S.S. Dande and Mr. B.V. Virdhe – AGP's for Respondent
Nos.1 and 2
Mr. S.G. Bhalerao – Advocate for Respondent No.3

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATE : 30th June, 2023

PER COURT :

1. Heard rival submissions.

2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods mentioned in these applications, which appear

to be caused due to their poor financial condition. The learned counsel for the acquiring body and the learned A.G.P. strongly opposed the applications on the ground that, delay is not properly explained.

3. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay periods mentioned therein stand condoned subject to condition that, the applicants shall not claim any interest for aforesaid periods of delay.

4. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

5. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

[SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE]
JUDGE