

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

940 CONT. PETITION NO.555 OF 2023

SAJID MALIK CHINOY
VERSUS
LALIT KUMAR MOHANLAL JAIN

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Akram Inamdar h/f. Mr. S.S. Kazi
Advocate for Respondent 1 : Mr. Sudhir Vodite a/w. Mr. Abhishek Patil h/f.
Mr. S.M. Gunjkar

...

**CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.
DATED : 12/10/2023**

PER COURT :

1. Mr. Lalit Kumar Jain/respondent along with his advocate is present in the Court. He has stated that there was some miscommunication in communicating the order passed by this Court and he has forthwith stopped the construction and he further undertakes that he would not carry any construction in disputed premises.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that construction activity is still carried.
3. The statements as on today are recorded.
4. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move the contempt petition again if the statement is violated. In the event, it is found that respondent has violated the orders of this Court, it would amount to aggravated contempt.
5. The contempt petition is disposed of on the statement made by the respondent.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER J.]

ssc/