

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

36 WRIT PETITION NO.10716 OF 2018

SHIVRAM LINGANNA YADAV AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. S P Pandav
AGP for Respondents: Mr. S B Pulkundwar
Advocate for Respondents : Mr. J R Shah

...

CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE & S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.
Dated: April 03, 2023

...

PER COURT :-

1. The DP road was developed in the year 1992, against which the petitioners are claiming sanction of TDR/additional FSI.
2. The petitioners have approached this Court at belated stage.
3. In view of the law laid down by the Apex Court in the matter of **State of Maharashtra Vs. Digambar** reported in AIR 1995 AIR 1991, we refrain ourselves from causing interference in writ jurisdiction, as the cause canvassed suffers from unexplained delay and laches. Petition accordingly dismissed.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.)

(NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.)

...