

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

902 WRIT PETITION NO.5638 OF 2019

**FAROOQ GULAM JILLANI SHAIKH
VERSUS
THE ADDITIONAL DIVISIONAL COMMISSIONER AURANGABAD
AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Petitioner: Mr. Urgunde Suhas P.
AGP for Respondent/State: Mr. S. P. Tiwari
Advocate for Respondent No.4: Mr. R. P. Adgaonkar
Advocate for Respondent Nos.5A to 5C:
Mr. Anand D. Dale h/f. Mr. S. S. Rathi

...

CORAM: ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE: 10th FEBRUARY, 2023

PER COURT:

1. The learned counsel appearing for the respondents, so also, the learned AGP submits that the remedy is available before the Minister / State, in view of the Judgment passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Gurudassing Nawoosing Panjwani Vs. State of Maharashtra and others, 2015 (6) Mh.L.J. 915.

2. In view of the submissions made by the respondents, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave of this court to withdraw

the present petition to pursue his remedy before the State. In the event the petitioner challenges the impugned order before the State, the time spent in prosecuting the present Writ Petition to be excluded while computing the period of limitation in filing the proceedings before the State authority.

3. The Writ Petition is disposed of with the above observations.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.]

marathe