

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

27 WRIT PETITION NO.10116 OF 2023
SHAILENDRA CHANDRAKANT PANDIT
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE PRINCIPLE SECRETARY
AND OTHERS

Mr.A.V. Bhagat, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.K.B. Jadhavar, AGP for the respondent/State.

CORAM : KISHORE C. SANT, J.
DATED : 18.08.2023

PC :-

01. Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner. At the outset, learned AGP pointed out that there is alternate remedy to file dispute under section 91 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act. He also submits that present petitioner does not have *locus standi*. On putting repeated queries to the learned Advocate for the petitioner, he could not satisfy the Court as to why he cannot approach the alternate forum and secondly about *locus standi*. It is seen that the petitioner is only an ex-employee of respondent No.6 Ahmednagar District Central Co-operative Bank Ltd. and he has challenged the election of respondent No.7 as Chairman of the said bank. This Court feels that this petition need not be entertained on both the grounds. Needless to say that the petitioner may avail remedy, if available under law. The writ petition stands dismissed with no order as to costs.

[KISHORE C. SANT, J.]