

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 9068 OF 2023

Mandabai Bajya Padvi .. Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Yogesh B. Bolkar, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mrs. M. A. Deshpande, Addl.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
Shri Rakesh Jain, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 4 to 8.

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL AND
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : 27 JULY 2023.

FINAL ORDER :

. Heard. The petitioner who was selected and stood at Sr. No. 2 in the list for appointment as Anganwadi Sevika claims that the candidate at Sr. No. 1 was elected as a Sarpanch and could not have been appointed as an Anganwadi Sevika. The petitioner's representations addressed to the respondent No. 6, who is Project Officer of the Child Development Project (Exhibit – G) is still under consideration.

2. Without expressing anything on merits, we direct the respondent Nos. 6 to 8 to take appropriate decision on the petitioner's representations (Exhibit – G) on its own merits as expeditiously as possible and in any case within a period of six (06) weeks from today. The writ petition is disposed of.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.]
bsb/July 23

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]