

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

936 WRIT PETITION NO. 9504 OF 2023

VIKAS TUKARAM PATIL AND ANOTHER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Syed Azizoddin R.
AGP for Respondents : Mr. P.S. Patil
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : 10 AUGUST 2023

PER COURT :

Heard.

2. The petitioners who are serving as Class IV employees with the respondent nos. 4 and 5 are aggrieved by the fact that they have appointed respondent nos. 6 and 7 and their appointments, according to the petitioners, are not in accordance with the provisions of the MEPS Act.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioners submits that already a grievance has been put up with the respondent no. 3 of which a reminder dated 01 February 2023 has been filed in the petition (exhibit 'E'). He submits that the petitioners are only seeking a direction to the respondent no. 3 to consider the representation on its own merits.

4. In the light of the above, we dispose of the Writ Petition by directing respondent no. 3 to take appropriate decision in accordance with law on the petitioners' grievance (exhibit 'E') as expeditiously as possible and in any case within a period of twelve weeks from today.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.]

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

spc/