

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**959 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11570 OF 2022
IN FAST/19864/2022**

**BALAJI LAXMAN CHINTALWAD AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
NANDED AND OTHERS**

...

**AND
960 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14011 OF 2022
IN FAST/19868/2022**

**SK. HAMID SK. AHMED (DIED) THR LRS. SK. KHAYUM SK. HAMID
AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
NANDED AND OTHERS**

...

**961 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14032 OF 2022
IN FAST/21670/2022**

**JUBEDABI ABDUL KADAR SHAIKH (DIED) THR LRS. ABDUL RAHIM
ABDUL KHADAR AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
NANDED AND OTHERS**

...

**962 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14043 OF 2022
IN FAST/19994/2022**

**SHIVAJI LAXMAN CHINTALWAD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
NANDED AND OTHERS**

...

**963 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.15190 OF 2022
IN FAST/22518/2022**

SAIDABEE W/O MOHD. ISMAIL (DIED) THR LRS CHANDPASHA
AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
NANDED AND OTHERS

...

965 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.15690 OF 2022
IN FAST/19991/2022

NANDULAL S/O SADASHIV SHRIVASTAV (DIED) THR LRS RAVI
NANDULAL SHRIWASTAV
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
NANDED AND OTHERS

...

966 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.15693 OF 2022
IN FAST/20608/2022

IRFANBI W/O RAFIYODDIN PATEL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR, JALNA AND
OTHERS

...

967 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.15694 OF 2022
IN FAST/20606/2022

RAFIYODDIN S/O ABDUL RAHEEM PATEL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR, JALNA AND
OTHERS

...

968 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.15695 OF 2022
IN FAST/22545/2022

SHAIKH MOINODDIN S/O SHAIKH HYDER (DIED) THR LRS
KHATIJA BEGUM W/O SHAIKH MOINODDIN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
NANDED AND OTHERS

...

...
Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Kakde Shubham M.
and Mr.Kakade D.M.
AGP for Respondents – State : Mr. B. V. Virdhe,
Mr. S. N. Kendre, Mr. A. B. Chate respectively.
...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 11.01.2023

PER COURT :

Heard rival submissions. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods as mentioned therein which appear to be caused due to their financial crisis. The learned AGP strongly opposed the application on the ground that the delay is not properly explained. The Acquiring Body though served, but remained absent.

2. Considering the statutory right of the applicants, the delay periods mentioned in all these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid periods of delay.

3. Appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

4. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

.....

shp/-