

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8280 OF 2023

Arun Pralhadrao Chadling .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Ankush N. Nagargoje, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri P. S. Patil, Addl.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL AND
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.
DATE : 13 JULY 2023.**

FINAL ORDER :

. Heard both sides.

2. The petitioner was appointed by the respondent No. 5 management which is a minority institution in the respondent No. 6/school, as a Shikshan Sevak. By the impugned order the Education Officer (Secondary) has refused to grant approval on the ground that he has not passed the T.E.T.

3. The decision of this Court holding that the provisions regarding mandatory T.E.T. is applicable even to minority institutions is under challenge before the Supreme Court, which has granted *status quo* to the impugned order.

4. The Education Officer shall take fresh decision on its own merits and shall not refuse to grant approval on the grounds mentioned in the impugned communication. The decision shall be taken as expeditiously as possible and in any case within a period of six (06) weeks from today. The approval would be subject to the decision in the matter before the Supreme Court. The writ petition is disposed of.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.]

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

bsb/July 23