

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

911 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1230 OF 2022
IN FAST/16024/2020 WITH CA/1231/2022 IN FAST/19901/2020 WITH
CA/1232/2022 IN FAST/19908/2020 WITH CA/1233/2022 IN
FAST/19913/2020 WITH CA/1234/2022 IN FAST/19915/2020 WITH
CA/1235/2022 IN FAST/19917/2020 WITH CA/1236/2022 IN
FAST/19920/2020 WITH CA/1237/2022 IN FAST/19924/2020 WITH
CA/1238/2022 IN FAST/19931/2020 WITH CA/1240/2022 IN
FAST/19936/2020

**GOKUL MARUTI JAMDADE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR, BEED AND
ANOTHER**

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Choudhari Sushant B.
AGP for Respondent/s – State : Mr. S. W. Munde

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 15.02.2023

PER COURT :

Heard rival submissions. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay of 1502 days, in all these matters, which appear to be caused due to their poor financial condition. The learned AGP strongly opposed the application on the ground that there is also circulation delay. Respondent No.2 -Acquiring Body though served, but remained absent.

2. Considering the statutory right of the applicants, the delay of 1502 days stands condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid period of delay and also for the delay in circulating the matter, belatedly.
3. Appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections.
4. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

.....

shp/-