

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

991 CONTEMPT PETITION NO.411 OF 2023
IN WP/9421/2022

POOJA KALYAN SAPATE
VERSUS
SAURABH VIJAY PRINCIPAL SECRETARY AND OTHERS

AND

992 CONTEMPT PETITION NO.413 OF 2023
IN WP/9383/2022

VISHWAMBHAR RAMRAO BHUTEKAR
VERSUS
SAURABH VIJAY PRINCIPAL SECRETARY

AND

993 CONTEMPT PETITION NO.414 OF 2023
IN WP/9422/2022

SATISH DIGAMBARRAO TOPE
VERSUS
SAURABH VIJAY PRINCIPAL SECRETARY

...

Mr. Sambhaji Subhashrao Tope, Advocate for Petitioner, in all matters.
Mr. A. R. Kale, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 to 5, in all matters.

...

CORAM : **R. G. AVACHAT and**
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.

DATE : 30th November, 2023.

Per Court:

. The Additional Chief Secretary, PWD, has filed affidavit-in-reply stating therein that the works have been commenced in terms of the directions issued by this Court in Writ Petition No.9421 of 2022.

Our attention has been adverted to page No.73 of the affidavit, which contains a chart giving details about the work in progress and budgetary provision therefor.

2 The learned counsel for petitioners has a grievance that the State has not made any budgetary provision and it may be an eye-wash.

3 The affidavit-in-reply has been filed specifically stating therein that all the works have again been resumed making budgetary provision therefor and some of the works are at initial stage for which tenders have been floated. In some of the matters, work orders have already been issued.

4 In the light of the said affidavit, we dispose of these contempt petitions with a rider to revive them, if the learned counsel for petitioners comes before us complaining that the works are not completed as stated in the affidavit or no budgetary provision is made therefor.

[SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.]

[R. G. AVACHAT, J.]

nga