

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10524 OF 2016
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 20920 OF 2016

SUBHASH SIDRAMAPPA KODLANGARE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. V.B. Patil h/f. Mr. D.S. Mali – Advocate for Applicant
Mr. R.B. Bagul – AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State
Mr. D.B. Rasve h/f. Mr. P.R. Nangare – Advocate for
Respondent No.3

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATE : 27th March, 2023

PER COURT :

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The applicant is seeking condonation of delay of 1229 days, which appears to be caused due to his poor financial condition.
3. The learned counsel for the acquiring body as well as learned A.G.P. strongly opposed the application on the ground that, no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the

delay and there is also circulation delay since, the applicant did not take any efforts to circulate the matter after 2016.

4. However, considering the statutory right of the applicant and for the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed and the delay of 1229 days mentioned in this application stands condoned subject to condition that, the applicant shall not claim any interest for the period of aforesaid delay and also for delay in circulating the matter belatedly i.e. from 2016 up till now.

5. The appeal be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

6. The Civil Application is accordingly disposed of.

[SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE]
JUDGE

Pooja K.