

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

929 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14409 OF 2022
IN RC/1545/2019

LIYAKAT ALI AKBAR ALI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND
ANOTHER

Mr.P.P.Patni h/f Mr.R.S.Wani, Advocate for the applicant.
Mr.S.K.Tambe, AGP for respondent No.1.
Mr.Amol Sawant, Advocate for respondent No.2.

(CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.)

DATE : JANUARY 20, 2023

PER COURT :

1. We have heard the learned Advocates for the respective sides. The learned Advocate for the applicant/original petitioner submits that he was a Class 4 worker with the corporation. He has crossed the age of superannuation. He has no source of income.

2. Considering the reasons cited and the objections of the respondents, the civil application is allowed. The delay of 940 days is condoned. We are not imposing costs in the light of the financial

condition of the applicant.

3. All office objections shall be removed on or before 10.02.2023.

As the petitioner makes a statement that the file would be reconstructed, let the reconstructed file be tendered on or before the same date.

4. Pursuant to the above, the writ petition would stand restored at the stage at which it was dismissed. Liberty to circulate.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)