

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 7332 OF 2023

- | | |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| 1. Pandit Antu Gavali | |
| 2. Ashok Revaji Padavi | |
| 3. Somnath Dhedu Kokani | |
| 4. Ravindra Arjun Kokani | |
| 5. Shashikant Krishna Raut | ...Petitioners |

Versus

- | | |
|---|-----------------|
| 1. The State of Maharashtra
Through the Secretary, Rural Development Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32. | |
| 2. The Divisional Commissioner,
Nashik Division, Nashik. | |
| 3. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Nandurbar. | |
| 4. The Education Officer (Primary)
Zilla Parishad, Nandurbar. | ...Respondents. |

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 7333 OF 2023

- | | |
|----------------------------|--|
| 1. Devidas Gemaji Gavit | |
| 2. Ashok Revaji Padavi | |
| 3. Milindkumar Raman Gavit | |
| 4. Rajesh Jaysing Vasave | |
| 5. Bharat Bhatu Pawar | |
| 6. Jugnu Jahagu Vasave | |
| 7. Jitendra Jayram Padavi | |

8. Vikas Sadashiv Padavi
9. Govind Sadashiv Padavi
10. Subhash Babu Mavchi
11. Avinash Bajya Gavit
12. Mangesh Aatrya Valvi

...Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through the Secretary, Rural Development Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
2. The Divisional Commissioner,
Nashik Division, Nashik.
3. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Nandurbar.
4. The Education Officer (Primary)
Zilla Parishad, Nandurbar.

...Respondents.

...

Advocate for Petitioners in both petitions : Mr. Shinde Balaji S.

AGP for Respondent No.1/State : Mr. S. K. Tambe

Advocate for Respondent No.2 and 3 in both petitions : Mr. P. S. Patil

...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : 3 JULY 2023.

PER COURT :

Heard both the sides.

1. The learned Advocate for the petitioners submits that the

petitioners, who are the residents of tribal area (PESA) of Zilla Parishad Nandurbar, are seeking suitable transfer. Their representations are pending with the respondent no.3/Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Nandurbar.

2. We dispose of the writ petitions by directing the respondent no.3 to take appropriate decision in accordance with law on the petitioners' representations as expeditiously as possible and in any case within six weeks.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.]

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

Najeeb...