

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9914 OF 2017 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 20770 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9911 OF 2017 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 20923 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9913 OF 2017 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 20926 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9912 OF 2017 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 20928 OF 2017**

Mr. D. A. Bide, advocate for the Applicants
Mr. S. N. Kendre, AGP for Respondent No.1.
Mr. S. G. Sangle, advocate for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 04th January, 2023.

PC :

Heard rival submissions.

The respective applicants, in all these applications, are claiming condonation of delay of 285 days, which appears to be caused due to their financial crisis.

The learned AGP as well as learned Counsel appearing for the acquiring body strongly opposed the applications on the ground that delay is not properly

explained.

However, considering statutory right of the applicants, delay of 285 days, in all these applications, stands condoned.

Appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

Civil Applications are disposed of accordingly.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

adb