

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**951 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11526 OF 2022
IN FAST/18722/2022**

**ASHOK @ ASARAM SUDAM TANNPURE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
JALNA AND ORS**

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. P. B. Rakhunde
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2: Mr. S. S. Dande

...
**AND
952 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11536 OF 2022
IN FAST/18701/2022**

**TUKARAM S/O BHIMRAO BORADE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
JALNA AND ORS**

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. P. B. Rakhunde
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2: Mr. A. B. Chate

...
**AND
953 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11537 OF 2022
IN FAST/18711/2022**

**VIMALBAI W/O DNYANOBA BORADE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
JALNA AND ORS**

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. P. B. Rakhunde
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2: Mr. B. V. Virdhe

...

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : 29/03/2023.**

P. C. :

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods mentioned in these applications, which appears to be caused due to their poor financial condition.
3. The learned AGP strongly opposed the application on the ground that no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay periods. The acquiring body though served, but remained absent.
4. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the periods of aforesaid delay.
5. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.
6. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)