

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1641 OF 2022 IN
X-OBJECTION ST. NO. 24908 OF 2020 IN
FIRST APPEAL NO. 1157 OF 2017**

Kantabai Suryakant Patil (Mane)

Applicant

Versus

The Executive Engineer, Patbandhare
Project, Omerga & others

Respondents

Mr. V. V. Ingale, advocate for the Applicant
Mr. Jeevan R. Patil, advocate holding for Mr. G. B. Rajale,
advocate for the Respondents

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 13th June, 2023.

PC :

Heard rival submissions.

The applicant-claimant is seeking condonation of delay of 513 days in filing Cross Objections, which appears to be caused due to her financial crisis.

The learned Counsel for Respondent-acquiring body strongly opposed the application on the ground that the delay is not properly explained.

However, considering the statutory right of the

applicant, the delay of 513 days stands condoned subject to the condition that the applicant shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid period of delay on enhanced compensation, if granted.

The Cross-Objection be registered after removal of office objections, if any.

Civil Application is disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

adb

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.9163 OF 2015 IN
FIRST APPEAL NO. 1157 OF 2017**

The Executive Engineer, Patbandhare
Project, Omerga & others

Applicants

Versus

Kantabai Suryakant Patil

Respondent

Mr. Jeevan R. Patil, advocate holding for Mr. G. B. Rajale,
advocate for the Applicants

Mr. V. V. Ingale, advocate for the Respondent

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 13th June, 2023.

PC :

Since the appellant-acquiring body has deposited
entire amount of compensation, Civil Application is made
absolute in terms of prayer clause {B} and disposed of.

**(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE**

adb