

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

909 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9723 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 18483 OF 2023

SITABAI HIMMAL MALACHE (BHIL)
VERSUS
THE COLLECTOR DHULE AND OTHERS

AND

910 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9724 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 18480 OF 2023

AASARAM DEORAM BHIL (DIED) THR LRS SOJABAI
AASARAM BHIL
VERSUS
THE COLLECTOR DHULE AND OTHERS

AND

911 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9725 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 18482 OF 2023

SANJAY SHAMA BHIL
VERSUS
THE COLLECTOR DHULE AND OTHERS

AND

912 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9726 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 18422 OF 2023

BHARATSINGH NARAYANSING GIRASE
VERSUS
THE COLLECTOR DHULE AND OTHERS

AND

913 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9727 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 18424 OF 2023

SADASHIV NATHA BHIL DIED THR LRS BANABAI SADASHIV
THAKARE (BHIL)
VERSUS
THE COLLECTOR DHULE AND OTHERS

AND

914 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9728 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 18477 OF 2023

DALPAT PAULAT GIRASE
VERSUS
THE COLLECTOR DHULE AND OTHERS

AND

915 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9729 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 18474 OF 2023

ANANDA KAUTIK PAWAR
VERSUS
THE COLLECTOR DHULE AND OTHERS

AND

916 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9730 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 18433 OF 2023

SUKDEO TANAKU MALCHE (BHIL)
VERSUS
THE COLLECTOR DHULE AND OTHERS

AND

918 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9732 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 18419 OF 2023

DAGA NAGO GIRASE
VERSUS
THE COLLECTOR DHULE AND OTHERS

AND

919 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9733 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 18476 OF 2023

BANDU FAKIRA BHIL DIED THR LRS LILABAI BANDU BHIL
(MALCHE)
VERSUS
THE COLLECTOR DHULE AND OTHERS

...

Mr. B.K. Pawar h/f. Mr. A.B. Kale – Advocate for Applicants
Mr. S.P. Tiwari, Mr. R.D. Sanap, Mr. S.N. Kendre and Mr. B.V.
Virdhe – AGP's for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State
Mr. V.V. Tarde – Advocate for Respondent No.3

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATE : 10th August, 2023

PER COURT :

1. Heard.
2. Issue notice to the respondents.
3. Learned AGP waives service for respondent Nos.1 and 2, State and learned Counsel – Mr. V.V. Tarde also waives service for respondent No.3 – acquiring body.
4. Heard rival submissions.
5. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods mentioned in these applications, which appear to be caused due to their poor financial condition.

6. The learned A.G.P. as well as learned Counsel for the respondent – acquiring body strongly opposed the applications on the ground that no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay periods.

7. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the periods of aforesaid delay.

8. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

9. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

[SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE]
JUDGE