

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6669 OF 2023

SHAIKH TABASSUM BEGUM KHAJA QAMRUDDIN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY
AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Panpatte V. S.
AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 : Mr. P.S. Patil
Advocate for Respondent No. 3 : Ms. Yogita S. Thorat

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.
DATED : 20th JUNE, 2023**

PER COURT :

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner, learned AGP and the learned Advocate for the respondent no. 3.

2. The petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that the proposal forwarded to respondent no. 3 by the Management seeking approval to her appointment is not being considered (exhibit 'I' dated 29th December, 2021).

3. Learned Advocate for the respondent no. 3, on instructions, submits that decision would be taken as expeditiously as possible and in any case within a period of six weeks.

4. We accept this statement as an undertaking to the Court and dispose of the Writ Petition.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

SPChauhan