

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7848 OF 2023
IN CEA/68/2015**

**SHRI VITTHALSAI SAHAKARI SAKHAR KARKHANA LTD MURUM
VERSUS
COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS CENTRAL EXCISE
AND SERVICE TAX, AURANGABAD**

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Sirsamkar Prashant L.
AGP for Respondent: Mr. Dwarkadas S. Ladda
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.J.**

DATE : 30.06.2023

PER COURT :

Heard.

2. By way of this application original respondent from the appeal seeks some kind of amendment. Neither the prayer or the application contains the details but the learned advocate orally submits that the applicant (original respondent) intends to produce the subsequent order and the other orders passed by the CESTAT on the record.

3. The learned advocate for the original appellant - revenue does not have any objection for production of the orders.

4. The application is allowed and the orders are allowed to be produced on record.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)