

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 365 OF 2023
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 8398 OF 2022**

M/s Sakshi Heights Pvt. Ltd.
Through its Director
Sambhaji Ramkrushna Atkare

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Maharashtra and Others

..RESPONDENTS

**AND
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 375 OF 2023
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 8069 OF 2022**

M/s Jayesh Infrastructure
Registered Partnership Firm
Through its Director
Imtiyaz Khan Sardar Khan

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Maharashtra and Others

..RESPONDENTS

**AND
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 470 OF 2023
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1570 OF 2023**

Jitendra Shantilal Mutha and Others

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

State of Maharashtra and Others

..RESPONDENTS

**WITH CP/354/2023 IN WP/11138/2022
WITH CP/472/2023 IN WP/1574/2023
WITH CP/477/2023 IN WP/8060/2022**

....
Mr. D.P. Palodkar, Advocate for petitioners
Mr. D.R. Kale, I/c Government Pleader for respondent - State
....

**CORAM : R.G. AVACHAT AND
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ
DATE : 20th SEPTEMBER, 2023**

PER COURT :

1. Learned Government Pleader places on record copy of the Government notification dated 11th September, 2023 indicating the order to have been complied with. Our attention has, however be drawn to Clause (ii) in the schedule (A-1) appended to the notification wherein it has been observed “Land so released would included in the resident zone as shown on plan”. The copy of plan referred therein is not on record.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners apprehends authentication of the plan referred to in the said clause may not be done within a reasonable time.
3. Since the order has been substantially complied with, all the contempt petitions stand disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to revive the petitions, if authentication of the plan is not done within a period of five weeks.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

(R.G. AVACHAT, J.)

SSD