

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.428 OF 2023 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 16826 OF 2020**

Jalindar Hauserao Kudale

Applicant

Versus

The G.M.I.D.C., Osmanabad

Respondent

Mr.S. A. Deshmukh, advocate for the Applicant
Mr.R. B. Gaikwad, advocate for the Respondent.

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.429 OF 2023 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 16835 OF 2020**

Ambadas Krushna Kudle (died)
through L. Rs.

Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & another

Respondents

Ms. L. R. Thakur, advocate holding for Mr. L. C. Patil,
advocate for the applicants.

Mr. S. N. Kendre, AGP for Respondent No.1.

Mr. R. B. Gaikwad, advocate for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 17th January, 2023.

PC :

The respective applicants are claiming withdrawal

of their respective amounts of compensation, which have been deposited by the acquiring body.

In other connected matters, this Court, vide order dated 07.01.2021, has already permitted the applicants therein to withdraw 75% amounts.

In view of the same, these applicants are also permitted to withdraw 75% of their respective amounts of compensation deposited by the acquiring body, 50% on furnishing usual undertaking and 25% on furnishing solvent security/surety to the satisfaction of learned Registrar (J) of this Court. The remaining amounts be invested in FDR, in any Nationalised Bank, on yearly renewal basis till final disposal of these appeals.

Civil Applications are disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

adb

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3271 OF 2021 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 16826 OF 2020
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3275 OF 2021 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 16835 OF 2020**

Mr. R. B. Gaikwad, advocate for the applicant.

Mr. S. A. Deshmukh, advocate for Respondent-sole in CA No. 3271/2021.

Ms. L. R. Thakur, advocate holding for Mr. L. C. Patil, advocate for Respondents No.1 to 4 in CA 3275 of 2021.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 17th January, 2023.

PC :

The applicant-acquiring body, who has filed these appeals, is seeking condonation of delay of 460 days which appears to be caused due to obtaining sanctions at various stages.

There is no strong objection from other side and, therefore, the delay of 460 days stands condoned. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

Civil Applications are disposed of accordingly.

**(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3272 OF 2021 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 16826 OF 2020
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3276 OF 2021 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 16835**

Mr. R. B. Gaikwad, advocate for the applicant.

Mr. S. A. Deshmukh, advocate for Respondent-sole in CA No. 3272/2021.

Ms. L. R. Thakur, advocate holding for Mr. L. C. Patil, advocate for Respondents No.1 to 4 in CA 3276 of 2021.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 17th January, 2023.

PC :

Since the acquiring body has deposited entire amounts of compensation, the applications are made absolute in terms of prayer clause "A" and are disposed of.

**(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE**

adb