

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

REVIEW APPLICATION NO.138 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.12417 OF 2015
(The State of Maharashtra and others Vs. Tukaram Balaji Jadhav and
another)
WITH
REVIEW APPLICATION (CIVIL) NO.137 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.12423 OF 2015
(The State of Maharashtra and others Vs. Pandhari Madhavrao
Yemalwad and another)

Mr.S.K.Tambe, AGP for the applicants/State.
Mr.G.J.Karne, Advocate for respondent No.1.

(CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.)

DATE : JANUARY 12, 2023

PER COURT :

1. The learned AGP submits on instructions that the order sought to be reviewed, has already been implemented by the Deputy Director of Education. The proposal of the original petitioners is decided on its own merits by passing a fresh order.
2. The learned Advocate for the original petitioners submits that as the fresh order is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they intend

to file fresh writ petitions for challenging those orders.

3. In view of the above, the review petitions are rendered infructuous and stand disposed off, since the original petitioners desire to file a fresh petition for challenging the order passed by the Authority pursuant to the directions of this Court.

4. Pending civil applications do not survive and stand disposed off.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)