

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

32 WRIT PETITION NO.7027 OF 2022

Vishnu Tryambak Doiphode

...Petitioner

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra ...Respondents
2. The Sub-Divisional Officer,
Sub-Divisional Office, Bhokardan, Dist. Jalna
3. The Tahasildar,
Tahasil Office, Bodwad,
Tq. Bodwad, Dist. Jalna
4. The Circle Officer,
Jalchakra (B) Tanda Circle,
Tq. Bodhwad, Dist. Jalna
5. Talathi
Talathi Sajja Kumbharzari
Tq. Jafrabad, Dist. Jalna
6. Tulshiram Eknath Doiphode,
7. Shivshankar Tulshiram Doiphode

Mr. M. K. Bhosale, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. A. S. Tomte, Advocate for the respondent Nos. 6 & 7

Mr. K. B. Jadhavar, AGP for the respondents/State

CORAM : KISHORE C. SANT, J.

DATE : 23rd JUNE, 2023

P. C.

1. Heard.
2. For lack of particulars in the application before the learned Tahasildar, the parties are directed to approach the Tahasildar by making a fresh application on the basis of same cause of action.
3. The Tahasildar is directed to decide the proceeding afresh in appropriate manner keeping in view of sections 5, 7, 8 and 9 of the Mamlatdar Court Act as early as possible preferably within a period of three months from today.
4. Needless to say that impugned judgment and order dated 02-06-2022 passed by respondent No.2 in Revision No. 78/2021 is quashed and set aside.
5. Earlier arrangement, if any to continue till the decision of the proceeding before the Tahasildar.

(3)

32wp7027.22

6. With this, petition stands disposed off.

[KISHORE C. SANT, J.]

VishalK/32wp7027.22