

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**73 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6590 OF 2022
IN FAST/15979/2020**

**RAMCHANDRA ASARAM PATIL
VERSUS
KISHOR NAGARAJ BADGUJAR AND ANOTHER**

**...
AND**

**74 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6592 OF 2022
IN FAST/15977/2020**

**PANJABRAO NHANU WADILE
VERSUS
KISHOR NAGARAJ BADGUJAR AND ANOTHER**

...

**Advocate for Applicants : Mr. A. D. Mane h/f.
Mr. Sawant Amol S.
Advocate for Respondent No.1 : Mr. V. Y. Patil**

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 30.01.2023

PER COURT :

Heard rival submissions The respective applicants in these applications are seeking condonation of delay of 1890 days which appears to be caused due to their financial crisis. The learned counsel for respondent No.1 - owner strongly opposed the applications on the ground that there is also circulation delay.

2. However, considering the statutory right of applicants, the delay of 1890 days mentioned in the applications is condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid delay periods and also for the delay in circulating the matters belatedly.
3. Appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.
4. The applications are disposed of accordingly.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

.....

shp/-