

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

958 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4887 OF 2021
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 15098 OF 2019

RUSTUM YADAV GAIKWAD AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

AND
959 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4888 OF 2021
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 14715 OF 2019

PUNDLIK SHAMRAO KAPSE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

AND
960 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4889 OF 2021
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 14712 OF 2019

NANA RAKHMAJI KAPSE (DIED) THR LRS GANGADHAR AND
OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

AND
961 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4891 OF 2021
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 14677 OF 2019

PUNDLIK SHAMRAO KAPSE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

AND
962 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4892 OF 2021
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 15302 OF 2019

RAMRAO REVJI JADHAV (DIED) LRS SHANTABAI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

AND
965 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4905 OF 2021
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 15090 OF 2019

UTTAM YADAV GAIKWAD (DIED) THR LRS ASARABAI AND
OTHERS
VERSUS
THE SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER K.K.V.M.
AURANGABAD AND OTHERS

...
Mr. B.K. Pawar h/f. Mr. A.M. Hajare – Advocate for Applicants
Mr. S.N. Kendre, Mr. B.V. Virdhe and Mr. S.P. Tiwari – AGP's
for Respondents, State

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATE : 11th July, 2023

PER COURT :

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods mentioned in these applications, which appear to be caused due to their poor financial condition.
3. The learned A.G.P. strongly opposed the application on the ground that, no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay periods and there is also circulation

delay.

4. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the period of aforesaid delay and also for delay in circulating the matters belatedly.

5. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

6. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

[SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE]
JUDGE