

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.686 OF 2023

SUNIL AMBADAS TAMBE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. N. B. Narwade
APP for Respondents: Mr. V. S. Badakh
Advocate for Respondent No. 3:Mr. M. A. Dond

...

CORAM : R.M. JOSHI, J

DATE : JULY 24, 2023

PER COURT :

1. Learned APP has placed on record CDR showing that present Applicant had made phone calls to the mother of the informant. It is the contention of the informant that in order to pressurize her for withdrawal of the complaint, the said calls were made.

2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant was unable to justify the said calls.

3. Act of the Applicant is clearly in breach of order of this Court and misuse of the liberty granted by this Court. Hence, even without going into the merits of the case, the application stands dismissed.

4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant states that

the interim protection be continued for the period of four weeks.

5. Learned APP opposed the said request.

6. The misuse of the liberty by the Applicant disentitles him for continuation of interim protection. Hence, request is rejected.

(R.M. JOSHI, J.)

Malani