

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

APPLICATION FOR CANCELLATION OF BAIL NO.75 OF 2022
WITH ACB/76/2022

RAVINDRA KALYAN SAPKAL

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. P. S. Dikle h/f Mr. A. L.
Kanade

APP for Respondents: Mr. V. S. Badakh
Advocate for Respondent No. 2 : Mr. A. R. Hange

...

CORAM : R.M. JOSHI, J

DATE : JULY 24, 2023

PER COURT :

1. Heard Counsels for both sides.
2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant states that the anticipatory bail was granted without considering the seriousness of the offence and allegations against Respondents.
3. Learned Counsel for Respondent No. 2 states that the charge-sheet is filed long back and charge is also framed against accused.
4. It is settled law that bail granted cannot be recalled casually unless extraordinary circumstances are shown. After the conclusion of investigation

charge-sheet was filed and charge is also framed against accused. Respondent No. 2 cannot be sent behind bars by way of pretrial sentence. Since the custody of the Respondent No. 2 is no more required in this crime, there is no propriety in interfering the order of grant of anticipatory bail.

5. In view of above, applications are dismissed.

(R.M. JOSHI, J.)

Malani