

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 302 OF 2019
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6 OF 2023
IN
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 94 OF 2015**

Sharad Nago Chinawale ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Maharashtra and Others ..RESPONDENTS

....

Mr. R.H. Mewara, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. S.D. Ghayal, A.P.P. for respondent no.2 – State

Mr. S.S. Patil, Advocate for respondent no.3

Mr. Y.H. Jadhav, Advocate h/f Mr. G.A. Nagori, Advocate for respondent no.4

....

**CORAM : SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI AND
R.M. JOSHI, JJ.**

DATE : 04th JANUARY, 2023

PER COURT :

1. This petition is filed alleging non-compliance of order dated 28th June, 2017 passed by this Court in Public Interest Litigation No. 94 of 2015.

2. The petition itself indicates that the order has been complied. The only grievance appears to be that the order was not complied with within the time stipulated in the order. The delay *per se* would not constitute contempt unless it is shown to be willful. When we expressed disinclination to

entertain the petition, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, sought leave to withdraw the petition.

3. Leave granted. Contempt petition is disposed of as withdrawn. Civil application stands disposed of in view of withdrawal of the petition.

(R.M. JOSHI, J.)
SSD

(SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)