

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

907 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10805 OF 2023 IN
REVIEW APPLICATION (CIVIL) (ST) NO. 12644 OF 2023 IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 8525 OF 2019

LAXMAN ASARAM KALASKAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Tribhuwan Nitin T.
AGP for the respondent – State : Mr. S.G. Sangale
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : 27 SEPTEMBER 2023

PC :

We have heard both the sides. Finding that the petitioner would have a remedy to resort to section 34 of the MIDC Act, 1961 (**Act**), we had dismissed the petition.

2. It appears that the petitioner having made an attempt to initiate a proceeding as contemplated under section 34 of the Act had submitted an application to the Collector who seems to have instead of making a reference to the competent authority, has made a reference to the civil court.

3. We cannot countenance the request of the petitioner to seek a review of our order dated 03.08.2022. The afore-mentioned facts and circumstances do not give rise to any cause for filing a review. The application is dismissed.

**[SHAILESH P. BRAHME]
JUDGE**

arp/

**[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE**