

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**962 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5264 OF 2020
IN FAST/12702/2019**

**UTTAM BHAURAO THOSAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...

Mr. D,R, Jaybhar, Advocate for the applicant.
Mr. A.B. Chate, A.G.P. for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 14th June 2023.

ORDER:-

1. Heard rival submissions. The applicant is seeking condonation of delay 922 days, which appears to be caused due to his poor financial condition.
2. The learned AGP strongly opposed the application on the ground that no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the said delay. The Acquiring Body, though served, but remained absent.
3. However, considering the statutory right of the applicant and for the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed and the delay of 922 days stands condoned subject to condition that the applicant shall not claim any interest for the period of aforesaid delay.

4. The appeal be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.
5. The application is accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)