

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

905 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5562 OF 2020
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 11293 OF 2019

PREMSING TARACHAND MEHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

AND

906 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5598 OF 2020
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 11295 OF 2019

RADHABAI TANHA SHEHARE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

AND

907 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5600 OF 2020
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 11351 OF 2019
WITH CA/5599/2020 IN FAST/11349/2019

PANDHARINATH BARKU KARE (DIED) THR LRS JANABAI
AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. D.A. Bide h/f. Mr. V.B. Wayal – Advocate for Applicants
Mr. S.N. Kendre, Mr. B.V. Virdhe and Mr. S.P. Tiwari – AGP's
for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 6th July, 2023

PER COURT :

1. The learned A.G.P. also waives service for respondent
No.2 – acquiring body.

2. Heard rival submissions.
3. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods mentioned in these applications, which appear to be caused due to their poor financial condition.
4. The learned A.G.P. strongly opposed the application on the ground that, no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay periods.
5. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that, the applicants shall not claim any interest for the periods of aforesaid delay.
6. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.
7. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

[SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE]
JUDGE