

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

904 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6998 OF 2013
IN FAST/10538/2013 WITH CA/6999/2013 IN FAST/10538/2013

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
BHAGWAN TULSHIBA KHARAT AND ANOTHER

...

AGP for Applicants : Mr. S. P. Tiwari
Advocate for Respondent No.1 : Mr. N. S. Ingle
Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. S. R. Yadav

...

AND

905 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7009 OF 2013
IN FAST/10570/2013 WITH CA/7010/2013 IN FAST/10570/2013

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
SHESHRAO RAMA PAWAR, L.RS. SUGADEO AND OTHERS

...

AGP for Applicants : Mr. R. D. Sanap
Advocate for Respondent No.1/A & 1/B : Mr. N. S. Ingle
Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. S. R. Yadav

...

AND

906 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7011 OF 2013
IN FAST/10575/2013 WITH CA/7012/2013 IN FAST/10575/2013

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS
VERSUS
BALU GOPALA MOHITE AND ANOTHER

...

AGP for Applicants : Mr. B. V. Virdhe
Advocate for Applicant No.3 : Mr. S. R. Yadav
Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 : Mr. N. S. Ingle

...

AND

907 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7013 OF 2013
IN FAST/10578/2013 WITH CA/7015/2013 IN FAST/10578/2013

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

VERSUS
RAMBHAU SONAJI KHETARE, L.R. PRAKASH AND ANOTHER

...

AGP for Applicants : Mr. S. N. Kendre
Advocate for Respondent No.1 : Mr. N. S. Ingle
Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. S. R. Yadav

...

908 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7019 OF 2013
IN FAST/10528/2013 WITH CA/7020/2013 IN FAST/10528/2013

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
NAMDEO YAMAJI PAWAR AND OTHERS

...

AGP for Applicants : Mr. S. P. Tiwari
Advocate for Respondent No.1 & 2 : Mr. N. S. Ingle
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. S. R. Yadav

....

AND

909 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7021 OF 2013
IN FAST/10531/2013 WITH CA/7022/2013 IN FAST/10531/2013

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS
VERSUS
SHIKANDAR TEJRAO PAWAR AND OTHERS

...

AGP for Applicants : Mr. R. D. Sanap
Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 to 6 : Mr. N. S. Ingle

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : 18/07/2023.

P. C. :

**A) ORDER IN CIVIL APPLICATIONS FOR DELAY
CONDONATION:**

1. The appellant – acquiring body in all these applications is seeking condonation of delay periods as mentioned therein, which appear to be caused due to obtaining sanctions at various stages.

There is no strong objection from other side and therefore the delay periods in all these applications stand condoned.

2. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.
3. Applications are accordingly disposed of.

B) ORDER IN CIVIL APPLICATIONS FOR STAY :

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. There shall be interim relief in terms of prayer clause-B in all these applications subject to deposit of entire amounts of compensation alongwith the interest within a period of 12 weeks.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)