

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

BAIL APPLICATION NO.493 OF 2023

KAILAS RAMKRUSHNA NAVGHARE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. H. F. Pawar
APP for Respondent: Mr. K. S. Patil
....

CORAM : S. G. MEHARE, J.

DATE : 05.04.2023

PER COURT :

1. In this case the trial could not be proceeded as the applicant is undergoing imprisonment and inmate in jail at Surat State of Gujarat.
2. The learned counsel for the applicant states that he was produced and kept in Jalgaon Jail for some period. However again he was shifted to the Surat jail. He had applied for his production but it was not worked out.
3. It seems that the matter could not be worked out as the applicant is languishing jail at Surat as a convict. In these circumstances, if the applicant wishes to expedite the trial, he should give consent for examining the witnesses, procuring his

presence through video conference or he may request for his physical presence, if essential. In the circumstances, it cannot be said that the trial was deliberately protracted.

4. The learned counsel for the applicant states that the applicant is ready to proceed with the trial if he has been produced through video conferencing and his physical presence may be procured wherever essential.

5. The learned trial Court is requested to consider the prayer for the production of the applicant as stated above.

6. In the above terms the application stands disposed of.

(S. G. MEHARE)
JUDGE

ysk