

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

945 WRIT PETITION NO.4399 OF 2018

SHANKAR KADUBA HIWRALE THROUGH LRS RAHUL SHANKAR
HIWRALE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
LIMBAJI KADUBA HIWRALE THROUGH GPA ASHOK LIMBAJI HIWRALE
AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Tandale Fulchad R.
AGP for Respondents-State : Mr. K. B. Jadhavar
Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 to 4 : Mr. V. D. Gunale

...
WITH WP/2039/2021

BABURAO LIMBAJI HIWRALE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
SHANKAR DAGDU HIWRALE AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. V. D. Gunale
AGP for Respondents-State : Mr. K. B. Jadhavar
Advocate for Respondent Nos.2 and 3 : Mr. V. D. Gunale

...
CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.
DATE : 17th January, 2023

PER COURT :

1. The learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that in view of the Judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gurudassing Nawoosing Panjwani vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors., reported in (2016) 2 SCC 213*, second revision is maintainable before the State against the impugned order.
2. In view of the same, the petitioners seek leave of this Court to file appropriate proceedings to challenge the impugned order before

the appropriate forum.

3. The petitioners are permitted to withdraw the petitions. Liberty is granted to approach the appropriate authority to challenge the impugned order. In the event, the petitioners challenge the impugned order before the appropriate authority, the time spent in present writ petitions in this Court would be excluded under Section 14 of the Limitation Act.

4. In view of the above, writ petitions stand disposed of.

(ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.)

vj gawade/-.