

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

914 WRIT PETITION NO.2987 OF 2023

**SUREKHA DATTATRYA DHOBLE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY
AND OTHERS**

**AND
915 WRIT PETITION NO.2988 OF 2023**

**SHASHIKIRAN SHAHAJI SARWADE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY
AND OTHERS**

....

Mr Balaji S. Shinde, Advocate for Petitioners;
Mr S. K. Tambe and Mr S. G. Karlekar, A.G.Ps. for Respondents/
State in respective petitions
Mr S. B. Ghute, Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 & 4 in respective
petitions

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.**

DATE : 15th March, 2023

PER COURT:

1. The Petitioners in both the petitions have put forth
prayer clause (B), which reads as under:

*“B. By issuing writ of mandamus or any other appropriate
writ or directions in the like nature, respondents No.3,
Chief Executive Officer may kindly be directed to
consider and decide claims/representations of the*

(2)

petitioners annexed at EXH-C in the light of circular dated 28.06.2018 issued by respondent No.1, for changing the postings of the petitioners by opening the posts of compulsory vacancies.”

2. The learned Advocate representing Respondent Nos. 3 and 4, submits on instructions that, the representations put forth by these Petitioners would be decided by the Respondents within four weeks.

3. In view of the above, the Petitioners are satisfied.

4. These petitions are, therefore, disposed off.

5. Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 should respond to the representations of the Petitioners within four weeks and convey the decision to the Petitioners, on or before 30/04/2023, in the light of the Circular dated 28.06.2018.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.) (RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

sjk