

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

36 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.514 OF 2023

Suryakiran s/o Baliram Choutmal ...Applicant

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra

2. The Investigating Officer. ...Respondents

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr.Shinde Dhananjay M.
APP for Respondent-State : Mr.A.S.Shinde

...

WITH

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 350 OF 2023

Giridhar s/o Sarang Lohdne ...Applicant

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra

2. The Investigating Officer. ...Respondents

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr.Shinde Dhananjay M.
APP for Respondent-State : Mr.A.S.Shinde

...

**CORAM : R. G. AVACHAT, J.
DATE : 24.04.2023.**

PER COURT :

1. Heard.

2. Admittedly, no overt act has been attributed in the FIR against the applicants. It is informed that CCTV footage of the incident, though available a transcript thereof has not been prepared.

3. The criminal liability of the applicants for the offence punishable under Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code, is invoked with the aid of Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code and the same would be considered during the trial of the case.

4. Since no overt has been attributed to any of the applicants herein, the orders granting them protection are hereby confirmed. Hence, both the applications are allowed and disposed of, on the same terms and conditions.

(R. G. AVACHAT)
JUDGE

mahajansb/