

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7360 OF 2017
IN FAST/7622/2017**

Ashok Sainaji More and another .. Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr. Sunil V. Kurundkar, Advocate for the Applicants.
Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. S. C. Arora, Advocate for Respondent No. 3.

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7361 OF 2017
IN FAST/7624/2017**

Devidas Vithal Umbardhale .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr. Sunil V. Kurundkar, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. S. C. Arora, Advocate for Respondent No. 3.

**AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7364 OF 2017
IN FAST/7221/2017**

Suresh Ramrao Ektapalle .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr. Sunil V. Kurundkar, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7363 OF 2017
IN FAST/7219/2017**

Ashok Ramji Pawar and another .. Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr. Sunil V. Kurundkar, Advocate for the Applicants.
Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. S. C. Arora, Advocate for Respondent No. 3.

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7362 OF 2017
IN FAST/7217/2017**

Ganeshrao Atmaram More .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr. Sunil V. Kurundkar, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. S. C. Arora, Advocate for Respondent No. 3.

**AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7369 OF 2017
IN FAST/6998/2017**

Ramrao Laxman More .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr. Sunil V. Kurundkar, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. S. P. Tiwari, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. S. C. Arora, Advocate for Respondent No. 3.

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7370 OF 2017
IN FAST/7000/2017**

Gangadhar Venkati Pawar
and others

.. Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. Respondents

Mr. Sunil V. Kurundkar, Advocate for the Applicants.
Mr. S. P. Tiwari, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. S. C. Arora, Advocate for Respondent No. 3.

**AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8294 OF 2017
IN FAST/7628/2017**

Ananda Gangadhar Umbardhale
Since deceased, through legal heirs
Chandrakalabai Ananda Umbardhale and others

.. Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. Respondents

Mr. Sunil V. Kurundkar, Advocate for the Applicants.
Mr. S. S. Dande, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8295 OF 2017
IN FAST/7626/2017**

Gangadhar vithal More

.. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. Respondents

Mr. Sunil V. Kurundkar, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. S. S. Dande, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. S. C. Arora, Advocate for Respondent No. 3.

**AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8410 OF 2017
IN FAST/7015/2017**

Raosaheb Vithal More .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr. Sunil V. Kurundkar, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. S. B. Patil, Advocate for Respondent No. 3.

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8409 OF 2017
IN FAST/7013/2017**

Nagorao Govindrao More .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr. Sunil V. Kurundkar, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. S. B. Patil, Advocate for Respondent No. 3.

CORAM : KISHORE C. SANT, J.

DATED : 13th OCTOBER, 2023.

P C. :-

. Heard.

2. These applications are for condonation of delay caused in filing respective appeals. It is submitted that, in the similar cases this Court has already condoned the delay.

3. Learned advocate for the applicants has placed on record the copies of orders dated 01.09.2021 passed in Civil Application No. 8292/2017, dated 15.11.2022 in Civil Application No. 1927/2018 and dated 11.11.2022 in Civil Application No. 7491/2017.
4. Though the applications are opposed by learned advocate Mr. Arora for respondent No. 3, this Court finds that, since in similar matters delay is already condoned and since delay is ranging from 160-175 days, in the interest of justice the applications needs to be allowed.
5. Hence, the applications are allowed. Delay stands condoned.
6. Office to register the appeals.
7. The civil applications stand disposed off.

(KISHORE C. SANT, J.)

PS.B.