

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.2545 OF 2023

**BHAGYASHREE BALAJI SAWANT AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
AND OTHERS**

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. S.S. Thombre
AGP for Respondents: Mr. S.J. Salgare.

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL
& S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 2 MARCH, 2023

P.C.:-

Heard both sides. Learned advocate Mr. Thombre, at the outset submits that the petitioners would only press for prayer clause (B), which reads as under :-

“B) By issuing a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, orders or directions in the like nature, direct the respondent no.2 to decide the proposal of the petitioners for regularization of the encroachment, as per the government resolution dated 09.09.2019 and for that purpose issue necessary orders.”

2. Petitioners are claiming that their applications/proposals for regularization are pending decision. The learned advocate submits that even the requisite report as per the Govt. Policy preceding regularization

has been submitted but the decision is not being taken expeditiously.

3. We dispose of the writ petition by directing the respondent No.2 to take appropriate decision on the petitioners' application for regularization as expeditiously as possible.

[S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR]
JUDGE

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE

grt/-