



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

95 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6266 OF 2023 IN FAST/6909/2023

**PANDIT LAXMAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE DISTRICT
COLLECTOR JALNA AND ORS**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Kakde D.M
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2 : Mr. Ruchir S. Wani

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 04.12.2023

P.C. :

A] ORDER IN APPLICATION FOR DELAY

1] Heard.

2] The first appeal is filed along with application for condonation of delay of 3931 days.

3] Learned AGP waives service for respondent Nos.1 and 2. Respondent No.3 though served, none appears.

4] Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is poor agriculturists and his land is compulsorily acquired. He further submits that the applicant would not claim interest and statutory benefit for

the delayed period.

5] Learned AGP has strongly opposed the application for condonation of delay.

6] Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, I deem it appropriate to condone the delay of 3931 days in filing the first appeal, subject to condition that the applicant would not be entitled to interest and statutory benefit for the delayed period.

7] The civil application stands disposed of.

B] ORDER IN FIRST APPEAL

1] The first appeal is **admitted**.

2] On admission, the learned AGP waives service of notice for respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

3] Call R. & P.

4] Office objections, if any to be removed with a period of four (04) weeks from today

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER]
JUDGE