

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2540 OF 2016

Smt. Asha d/o Dhanraj Laddha

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

.....

Mr. Amit A. Mukhedkar – Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar – AGP for respondent nos. 1 and 2

Mr. M. P. Tripathi – Advocate for respondent no. 3

.....

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL

AND

S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.

DATED : 14.06.2023

PER COURT : -

1. Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will be satisfied if respondent nos. 3 and 4 would consider her request and take appropriate decision. He points out that the petitioner expects the decision on the notice/representation dated 24 October 2015 [Exh.'C'].

2. The learned advocate Mr. Tripathi for respondent nos.3 and 4 on instructions submits that the respondents are ready to consider the petitioner's request.

3. The writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondent nos. 3 and 4 to consider and decide the petitioner's representation [Exh. 'C'] dated 24 October 2015, as expeditiously as possible and in any event, within six weeks.

[S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR]
JUDGE

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE