

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

924 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3598 OF 2023
IN X-OBJST(ST)/18827/2022

SATISHCHANDRA RAMVILAS SIKCHI
VERSUS
THE ADMINISTRATOR CIDCO, AURANGABAD AND ANR

Advocate for Applicant : Mr.Umesh Darak and Mr.Nikhil Jaju
Advocate for Respondent no.1 : Mr.A.S.Bajaj
AGP for Respondent no.2 : Mr.A.M.Phule

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
ABHAY S. WAGHWASE, JJ.**

DATE : 6th June, 2023

PER COURT :-

1. Though the learned Advocate appearing for the applicant (Cross Objector) submits that copies of the cross objection were given to the other side, the Office had raised the objection for non-supply of the copies and the matter came to be listed before the learned Registrar (Judicial). He failed to point out the said fact. Under such circumstance, the registration was refused. It is further submitted that there is delay in filing application for restoration. The delay of 71 days is unintentional.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant is unable to point out the acknowledgment, however, the learned Advocate for the original appellant / respondent no.1 is accepting the fact that he

has received the copies. We take the said statement at bar to be a correct statement.

3. Application for condonation of delay and restoration of Cross Objection as well as grant of time to remove office objection is allowed. Office objections be removed within two weeks. On this condition, the Cross Objection is restored.

(ABHAY S. WAGHWASE)
JUDGE

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI)
JUDGE

SPT