

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

941 WRIT PETITION NO.3105 OF 2022

RAJENDRA SITARAM BHUSARI AND ANOTHER  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Bide Dnyaneshwar A  
AGP for Respondents State: Mr. P. K. Lakhotiya  
Advocate for Respondent No.5 : Mr. P. D. Suryawanshi

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &  
S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

**DATE : 06.01.2023**

**ORDER:**

1. The petitioners are aggrieved by inaction on the part of the respondents to complete the acquisition proceedings, albeit the possession was taken in the year 2003.
2. After hearing both sides, it appears that indeed the respondents have not been acting promptly. In paragraph 4 of the affidavit-in-reply of respondent No.4, it has been mentioned that notification under section 11 of the Land Acquisition Act, 2013 has been sent for publication and as per the provisions of the new Act, it will have to be initiated and completed within a stipulated time.
3. We dispose of the writ petition by directing the respondents to conclude the acquisition proceedings within a statutory period.

**(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.)**

**( MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)**