

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

924 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4550 OF 2021
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 5783 OF 2021
WITH CA/4560/2021 IN FAST/5751/2021
WITH CA/4561/2021 IN FAST/5751/2021
WITH CA/4564/2021 IN FAST/5757/2021
WITH CA/4565/2021 IN FAST/5757/2021
WITH CA/4562/2021 IN FAST/5765/2021
WITH CA/4563/2021 IN FAST/5765/2021
WITH CA/4554/2021 IN FAST/5773/2021
WITH CA/4555/2021 IN FAST/5773/2021
WITH CA/4552/2021 IN FAST/5778/2021
WITH CA/4553/2021 IN FAST/5778/2021
WITH CA/4551/2021 IN FAST/5783/2021
WITH CA/4556/2021 IN FAST/5788/2021
WITH CA/4557/2021 IN FAST/5788/2021
WITH CA/4558/2021 IN FAST/5793/2021
WITH CA/4559/2021 IN FAST/5793/2021

THE TAPI IRRIGATION DEVELOPMENT OFFICER THR THE
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER M.I.W. JALGAON AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
VIJAY SHRIDHAR JADHAV

...
Mr. R.A. Tambe – Advocate for Applicants
Mr. A.B. Kale – Advocate for Respondent
....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATE : 21st July, 2023

PER COURT :

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4550 OF 2021
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4560 OF 2021

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4564 OF 2021
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4562 OF 2021
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4554 OF 2021
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4552 OF 2021
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4556 OF 2021
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4558 OF 2021

1. The appellant – acquiring body in all these applications is seeking condonation of delay of 601 days, which appears to be cause due to obtaining sanctions at various stages. There is no strong objection from other side and therefore the delay of 601 days in all these applications stands condoned.

2. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

3. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4561 OF 2021
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4565 OF 2021
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4563 OF 2021
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4555 OF 2021
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4553 OF 2021
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4551 OF 2021
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4557 OF 2021
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4559 OF 2021

4. The learned Counsel for the acquiring body requested for extension of time to deposit the amount. However, the learned

Counsel for the respondents – claimants strongly opposed the same.

5. It appears that, the appellant – acquiring body despite directed by this Court failed to deposit amounts of compensation in all these matters. As such, the respective applicants are now at liberty to pursue the remedy of execution proceeding for recovery of compensation amounts.

[SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE]
JUDGE