

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2766 OF 2014
IN SAST/3764/2014

SHRIPATI TULSHIRAM HADULE
VERSUS
NAMDEO GOPAL KORE AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. A.S. Kulkarni
h/f. Mr. Natu Sharad V.
....

CORAM : R.M. JOSHI, J.
DATE : 10th March, 2023

PER COURT :

1. Respondents are duly served with notice. None appeared for the respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the appellant states that the appellant is an agriculturists and the delay caused in preferring the Second Appeal is not intentional.
3. Perusal of the application indicates that the delay caused in preferring the Second Appeal has been satisfactorily explained. This Court find no malafiedes in preferring the appeal. Absence of the respondents in spite of service of notice indicates that they are not inclined to oppose the application.

4. Hence, the application is allowed.
5. Second Appeal be registered.

[R.M. JOSHI, J.]

SPChauhan