

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**908 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4607 OF 2019
IN FAST/3133/2019**

**EKNATH RAMBHAU KAMBLE
VERSUS
M.S.R.T.C., MUMBAI**

...

Mr. R.B. Dhakne, Advocate for the applicant.
Mr. H.I. Pathan, Advocate holding for Mr. A.B. Dhongade,
Advocate for sole respondent.

...

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : 25.01.2023.**

ORDER:-

1. Heard the rival submissions. The applicant is seeking condonation of delay of 179 days in filing the appeal, which appears to be caused due to his poor financial condition. The learned Counsel for the respondent M.S.R.T.C. strongly opposed the application on the ground that delay is not properly explained.
2. However, considering the statutory right of the applicant, the application is allowed and the delay of 179 days stands condoned subject to condition that the applicant shall not claim any interest for the said delay period.
3. The appeal be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.
4. The application is accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)