

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2198 OF 2019
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 2133 OF 2019
WITH CA/4184/2019 IN FAST/2129/2019
WITH CA/1209/2023 IN X-OBJ/2/2023

BABU HARJI RATHOD
VERSUS
VASHRAM GOVIND JADHAV AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. R.A. Tambe – Advocate for Applicant
Mr. S.P. Tiwari – AGP for Respondent, State
Mr. U.A. Sayyed h/f. Mr. K.N. Shermale – Advocate for
Respondent No.1

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATE : 17th August, 2023

PER COURT :

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2198 OF 2019
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4184 OF 2019

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods mentioned in these applications, which appear to be caused due to their poor financial condition.
3. The learned Counsel for Insurance Company strongly opposed the applications on the ground that, no reasonable

cause is mentioned for condoning the delay periods.

4. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the periods of aforesaid delay.

5. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

6. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1209 OF 2023

7. The application for condonation of delay in filing Cross-objections. However, office note shows that, the cross-objections are filed within limitation.

8. As such, the Civil Application stands disposed of.

**[SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE]
JUDGE**