

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

4 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5464 OF 2012

IN FAST/2153/2012 WITH CA/5465/2012 IN FAST/2050/2012
WITH CA/5466/2012 IN FAST/2156/2012 WITH CA/5467/2012 IN
FAST/2160/2012 WITH CA/5468/2012 IN FAST/2163/2012 WITH
CA/5469/2012 IN FAST/2165/2012 WITH CA/5470/2012 IN
FAST/2168/2012 WITH CA/5471/2012 IN FAST/2172/2012 WITH
CA/5472/2012 IN FAST/2178/2012 WITH CA/5473/2012 IN FAST/
2181/2012 WITH CA/5474/2012 IN FAST/2184/2012 WITH
CA/5475/2012 IN FAST/2187/2012

RAYBHAN MAHADU SONWANE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAH AND ANR

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. A. Bhide h/f Mr. C. K. Sonwane

AGP for Respondent No.1: Mr. S. N. Kendre

Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. A. V. Wadwale h/f
Mr. A. M. Gaikwad

....

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : 12/06/2023.**

P. C. :

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay of 241 days in these applications, which appears to be caused due to their poor financial condition.
3. The learned AGP as well as learned counsel for acquiring body strongly opposed the application on the ground that no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay periods. It appears that the acquiring body has also filed appeals against the instant common judgment, wherein respective delay periods are condoned.

4. Therefore, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the period of aforesaid delay.
5. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.
6. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)